



ARTICLE THÉMATIQUE

## ***How did support systems in Western countries transform and adapt to meet underserved and marginalized migrants' needs? A scoping review\****

Achille Dadly Borvil<sup>1</sup> and Lara Gautier<sup>2</sup>

---

### **Abstract / Résumé**

Prior studies on the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on migrants with precarious immigration status (refugees, asylum seekers, and undocumented migrants who arrived in a host country fewer than five years ago) have shown that they have been disproportionately affected by the pandemic because of their migration and socioeconomic status. Across the world, support systems for these marginalized migrants at local levels had to be reinvented to face the pandemic and ensure continuity of services. The objective of this systematic review was to provide a portrait of the interventions that were set up to address underserved and marginalized migrants' needs in Western countries during the pandemic and to categorize them by area of intervention. We identified peer-reviewed papers published in English and French between March 2020 and February 2022 in MEDLINE, Embase, PubMed and Web of Science. We included original research studies, commentaries, essays and editorials. For grey literature, we searched in Google Scholar and the websites of major health organizations and institutions that worked with underserved and marginalized migrants. We also consulted the articles' list of references. We included 31 publications: 15 peer-reviewed articles and 16 grey literature documents. Our results indicated that in order to address vulnerable newcomers' needs, support systems intervened in the following areas: immigration, health and social services; raising awareness about COVID-19, food security, primary and secondary basic needs; and mental health and control of COVID-19 infection in settings with high concentrations of underserved and marginalized migrants. Selected interventions adopted a collaborative approach between actors in different sectors. Most of the interventions were carried out by community-based organizations. Our scoping review highlights the role of community-based organizations in improving the living conditions of migrants with precarious immigration status during the pandemic and emphasizes the relevance of intersectoral collaboration as a strategy to respond effectively to the needs of underserved and marginalized migrants in times of crisis.

---

### **Rattachement des auteurs**

<sup>1</sup> École nationale d'administration publique (ÉNAP) de Montréal, Montréal, Canada; <sup>2</sup> Centre de recherche en santé publique (CRéSP), Université de Montréal et CIUSSS du Centre-Sud-de-l'Île-de-Montréal, Montréal, Canada

### **Correspondance**

AchilleDadly.Borvil@enap.ca

### **Mots clés**

refugees, asylum seekers, undocumented migrants, seasonal foreign workers, vulnerable migrants, COVID-19

### **Pour citer cet article**

Borvil, A. D. and Gautier, L. (2022). How did support systems in Western countries transform and adapt to meet underserved and marginalized migrants' needs? A scoping review. *Alterstice*, 11(2), 57-74.

\*Une synthèse en français est disponible dans le même numéro d'*Alterstice*, p. 75-77.

## Introduction

Migrants with precarious immigration status, such as refugees, asylum seekers, undocumented migrants and seasonal agricultural workers are generally among the most vulnerable populations in the world (Brickhill-Atkinson and Hauck, 2021; Lau and al., 2020; Orcutt and al., 2020). They typically have precarious jobs, difficult working conditions (Matthew, Monaghan and Luque, 2021) and live in overcrowded and unsanitary housing (Caxaj, Cohen, Buffam and Oudshoorn, 2020; Jahn, Hintermeier and Bozorgmehr, 2022). Most of them have specific health problems, such as mental health issues and trauma from injuries, violence, abuse and trafficking that require special consideration (Lau et al., 2020; Wenner, Biddle, Gottlieb and Bozorgmehr, 2022; World Health Organization [WHO], 2021). These health problems have been generally unrecognized and unaddressed (WHO, 2021) because of the migration policies of host countries and the many obstacles migrants face in accessing essential health care and other social services in the host country (Gautier et al., 2020). In addition to potential language barriers and a lack of knowledge of the health systems in their host country, migrants with precarious immigration status have poor access to medical care (Wenner et al., 2022). The waiting period to obtain health services varies considerably from country to country (Hacker, Anies, Folb and Zallman, 2015). In the majority of high-income countries, for example, the waiting period is between three (Canada) and 18 (Germany) months to obtain access to a comprehensive medical care program (Edmonds and Flahault, 2021; Wenner et al., 2022) or to be eligible for a public health insurance plan (US) (Hill, Rodriguez and McDaniel, 2021). Compared to other population groups, all these factors are likely to increase migrants' risk exposure during major health crises.

Since March 2020, the world has been facing an unprecedented health crisis caused by the appearance of the new coronavirus (COVID-19), which has affected all social categories within the population, particularly the most vulnerable. Governments have had to take quick action to curb the spread of the virus and reduce the number of victims. An increasing number of studies carried out between 2020 and 2022 (Askola, Forbes-Mewett and Shmihelska, 2021; Edmonds and Flahault, 2021; Gautier et al., 2022; Hasan Bhuiyan et al., 2021; Hayward et al., 2021; Matthew, Monaghan and Luque, 2021; Page and Flores-Miller, 2021; Tuyisenge and Goldenberg, 2021) have shown that underserved and marginalized migrants in all categories of the population are disproportionately affected by COVID-19 because of their migration status, socio-economic status and the fact that they are working in jobs that expose them to the highest risks to their health and safety. As early as 2020, the United Nations stated that these groups are subjected to a triple burden of three interlocking crises that heighten their vulnerability: a health crisis, a protection crisis and a socio-economic crisis (United Nations, 2020).

Migrant populations (established or not) are two to three times more likely to be infected with coronavirus than other groups. The mortality rate in the UK, Canada and the US, for example, was twice as high among migrant populations (Baggio et al., 2021; Cleveland et al., 2020; Deal et al., 2021; Statistique Canada, 2021). There is a lack of empirical evidence, however, on the incidence of infection and the rate of mortality due to COVID-19 in the specific case of migrants with precarious immigration status (Baggio, Jacqueroiz, Salamun, Spechbach and Jackson, 2021; Deal et al., 2021). They have been largely neglected in pandemic responses (Bhopal, 2020; Brickhill-Atkinson et Hauck, 2021; Haley et al., 2020; Hill et al., 2021; Lau et al., 2020; Orcutt et al., 2020) as their needs have not been taken into consideration. Indeed, most public health recommendations are inaccessible to the migrant population because of their specific socioeconomic conditions, institutional barriers, fear of institutions, lack of access to services, language barriers and occasionally low literacy (Matthew, Monaghan and Luque, 2021). Voices have been raised demanding that the governments of developed countries include refugees, asylum seekers, undocumented migrants and seasonal agricultural workers in their public and health policies aimed at fighting the pandemic, that they "leave no one behind" (European Public Health Association [EUPHA], 2020; Orcutt et al., 2020; Platform for International Cooperation on Undocumented Migrants [PICUM], 2020; WHO Europe, 2020). Governments have also been asked to reduce the spread of the virus among migrants, as any decrease in infections in that population helps to limit transmission in the general population (Hasan Bhuiyan et al., 2021; International Organization of Migrations [IOM], 2020). Including these groups in pandemic responses would require governments to modify their policies to facilitate "access to non-discriminatory services and to include targeted measures ensuring safeguards of migrants' entitlements and fundamental rights at work" (IOM, 2020), regardless of their migration status.

The impact of the pandemic on vulnerable populations has been well documented (Bastien et al., 2022; Bohnet and Rügger, 2021; Burton-Jeangros et al., 2020; Hintermeier et al., 2021). To the best of our knowledge, however, there has been little research on interventions aimed specifically at refugees, asylum seekers, undocumented migrants and seasonal agricultural workers. Most research combines these groups with several others in a broader category of vulnerable populations. While these populations may share similar characteristics, migrants with precarious immigration status have to deal with unique challenges and multiple obstacles, such as the suspension of refugee resettlement programs and the constant threat of deportation (Brickhill-Atkinson and Hauck, 2021; PICUM, 2022), which increases their vulnerability and distinguishes them from others. The objectives of this scoping review are to provide an overview of the interventions implemented by diverse actors to address the needs of migrants with precarious immigration status in Western countries during the COVID-19 pandemic and to categorize them by area of intervention.

## Methods

This scoping review corresponds to type 1 described by Arksey and O'Malley, aimed at summarizing and disseminating research results (Arksey and O'Malley, 2005). Our goal was to identify interventions that had been implemented to meet the needs of migrants with precarious immigration status in the context of the pandemic in Western countries from March 2020, when the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, to February 2022, when this article was written.

### *Identification of relevant studies*

To achieve our research objective, we designed a search strategy to identify relevant studies. With the assistance of a public health librarian, we developed our search strategy and consulted the following four databases: MEDLINE, Embase, PubMed and Web of Science. The search terms used are shown in Table 1. We also included reviews, essays, editorials and letters to the editor. The selected peer-reviewed items were published between March 2020 and February 2022 and written in English or French. In addition, to provide a comprehensive overview of our research topic, we searched in Google Scholar and included grey literature, such as institutional reports and community organizations' activity reports. We also used the list of references of relevant papers to identify further sources. Finally, we searched the websites of key organizations that support migrants in Western countries (Figure 1).


**Table 1. Search terms**

<b>Newcomer</b>	(refugee* or asylum seek* or displaced or migrant* or immigrant* or emigration or refugee claimant* or asylum claimant* undocumented)
<b>Healthcare system</b>	("health care" or healthcare or "health care system" or "health services service provision" or "public services" or "social services" or "community-based" or "civil society" or "social care" or "care provision" or protection)
<b>COVID-10</b>	(SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV2 or SARSCoV-2 or SARSCoV2 or SARS-CoV* or SARSCoV* or "severe acute respiratory syndrome 2" or "severe acute respiratory syndrome cov*" or Covid-19 or Covid19* or Covid or nCoV* or 2019nCoV* or 19nCoV* or HCoV-19 or coronavirus* or "corona virus*")
<b>Adaptation</b>	(adapt* or transform* or change* or innov*)
<b>Interventions</b>	(intervention* or initiative* or *program* or * action* or *project* or *activit* or *plan*)

### *Target population*

Our population of interest included all migrants—adults and children—with precarious immigration status defined as refugees, asylum seekers, undocumented migrants, and seasonal agricultural workers who arrived in a host Western country starting in January 2016.

**Figure 1. Complete document selection process**



### *Type of interventions*

We included all interventions designed and implemented to meet the specific needs of underserved and marginalized migrants and improve their well-being during the pandemic, targeting newcomer migrants of any age, including refugees, asylum seekers, seasonal agricultural workers and undocumented migrants. They could have been implemented at the local, territorial/provincial or national level and in any context (public health sector, community-based sector, etc.). The interventions had to be new cross-sectoral practices or actions involving the collaboration of at least two different types of organizations (public services, community-based services) or two fields of intervention (education, health, or social intervention, including housing, employment, and immigrant integration/settlement) in meeting the needs of underserved and marginalized migrants during the COVID-19 pandemic.

### *Inclusion and exclusion criteria*

Publications (peer-reviewed, reports and other web documents) were eligible for inclusion in the analysis if they provided a description of an intervention designed specifically for underserved and marginalized migrants and implemented in Western countries. Publications on the subject of refugee camps, settled immigrants (with permanent residence status or who had arrived more than five years earlier) or vulnerable populations in general were not reviewed. Comments, essays, theoretical perspectives (providing recommendations only) and position statements were also excluded. We also excluded publications concerning underserved and marginalized migrants around the world. We followed the same process for the other types of documents considered.

### *Study selection and data management and extraction*

Articles identified in the databases were imported into EndNote. After excluding duplicates, we reviewed titles and abstracts that met the eligibility criteria in order to select the relevant articles. We followed the same process for other types of documents. For data extraction, we used an Excel sheet in which we compiled the following information: name of first author and year of publication, place of publication, type of publication (articles, reports, governmental documents), objectives of the interventions, period of implementation, target groups, main components (activities or services offered) and responsible sector (community-based or public; national or subnational).

### **Results**

From our systematic database search, we retrieved 91 studies, which we imported into Endnote. Eighty-one of these were excluded for reasons explained in the Inclusion and exclusion criteria section and in Figure 1. Based on the previously defined inclusion criteria, only 10 studies were deemed relevant for our review. Another 21 items consisted of studies that were identified by other methods (citation searching, extraction from institutional websites). The 31 documents that met the criteria were included in this scoping review. The complete selection process is shown in Figure 1.

### *Characteristics of included studies*

As shown in Table 2, documents included in the review came from nine Western countries. More than two-thirds of the interventions (23) were conducted in North America (US [12] and Canada [11]). The others were conducted in Europe, including the United Kingdom (2), Italy (1), France (1), Switzerland (1), Portugal (1), Spain (1) and the Republic of Malta (1). Almost half of the selected items were peer-reviewed articles (15). The other 16 were government documents (8), activity reports from community organizations (5), and web-based documents (3). The groups targeted by the interventions were (in descending order): seasonal agricultural workers (8), refugees (6), migrants in general (6), undocumented migrants (3), asylum seekers (3), others (including more than one group) (3), healthcare workers (1) and unaccompanied minors (1). It is important to note that of the six interventions that involved refugees, two of them targeted young people (and their families) and one focused on women. The majority (18/31) of the interventions were implemented in the spring of 2020 (between March and June). Four were implemented in the summer of 2020 (June-August), four in the autumn of 2020 (September-December), one between February and June 2021, and one in the summer of 2021 (July). Three did not specify the implementation period (see supplementary material for more details). More than half of the selected interventions involved the engagement of actors from community-based organizations (19/31), partnerships with members and organizations of the target communities, or public and/or private institutions. Government interventions (13/31) were implemented at the provincial (6) and national (7) levels.

### **Table 2A-2D. Selected interventions by publication category**

**SEE p. 70-74**

### *Main areas of intervention and associated activities*

We have grouped selected interventions into five categories corresponding to five complementary areas of intervention. Each area and its related interventions is presented in the following sections.

#### *Immigration, health care and social service policies*

Government interventions sought to introduce more flexible conditions for accessing permanent or regular immigration status for certain categories of migrants, and to expand access to certain health care and social assistance services. These policies consisted mainly in adopting laws or decrees in three different areas. First, they sought to temporarily resolve the status of undocumented migrants working in the agricultural and home care

sectors and to offer permanent residence permits to those working in the health sector (PICUM, 2020) during the pandemic (Immigration Refugees and Citizenship Canada [IRCC], 2020; *Ministère de l'Immigration de la francisation et de l'Intégration* [MIFI], 2020b). Second, some of them provided more job security for seasonal agricultural workers (Province of British Columbia, 2020). Third, one policy simplified the integration process for specific groups of migrants (asylum seekers and foreign workers) by deferring certain criteria (MIFI, 2020a). Government policies primarily targeted seasonal workers, refugees and asylum seekers. In addition, during the initial phase of the pandemic, several governments made changes to their policies and programs in order to expand access to a) COVID-19 testing, prevention and treatment services (British Columbia Ministry of Health, 2020; Ontario Ministry of Health, 2020; PICUM, 2020; Waldersee, 2020); b) medical insurance or financial assistance for medical care (Baggio et al., 2021; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [US-HHS], 2020); and c) certain social assistance programs such as food and nutrition programs (PICUM, 2020; US-HHS, 2020).

#### *Raising awareness about COVID-19: Information campaigns and training in health measures*

We identified two types of COVID-19 awareness-raising interventions carried out at the local level. One targeted underserved and marginalized migrants and another, front-line workers interacting with migrants. Their objectives were to provide newcomers with accurate information on COVID-19, inform them of the preventive measures recommended by public health authorities and make them aware of how to protect themselves. The interventions that concerned underserved and marginalized migrants consisted of 1) an active community information and awareness campaign linguistically adapted (telephone calls by workers or volunteers from the target community, distribution of documents), dissemination of individual messages (text and voice, in several languages and using different communication channels such as WhatsApp, Facebook and Instagram) (Access Alliance, 2020; Behbahani et al., 2020; Bernstein, González, Gonzalez and Jagannath 2020; Migrant Women Association Malta [MWAM], 2020); 2) the provision of multilingual information on available COVID-19-related resources and how to access them (Centre social d'aide aux immigrants [CSAI], 2020; Page and Flores-Miller, 2021; Wieland et al., 2022); 3) the referral of migrants to relevant resources based on their needs (Coronavirus Aid, opticians and dentists, for example) (Endale et al., 2020; Farrant, Eisen, van Tulleken, Ward and Longley, 2022; Page and Flores-Miller, 2021); 4) the distribution of sanitary kits (face mask, hand sanitizer, etc.) and guidance on the use of masks (Dudley, 2020); and 5) information sessions on vaccines to combat misinformation, dispel myths and reduce vaccine hesitancy (Jewish Immigrant Aid Service [JIAS] Toronto, 2021).

Interventions that involved health professionals, external actors and volunteers were in the form of webinars on COVID-19, dissemination of the latest research findings on COVID-19 by experts (Liebman Roy, Seda, Zuroweste and Roy, 2020; Tutor Marcom et al., 2020), and training on the use of personal protective equipment (Da Mosto et al., 2021).

#### *Food insecurity and primary and secondary basic needs*

Interventions in another category were aimed at identifying and meeting the basic needs of underserved and marginalized migrants. In the context of this review, the term “primary basic needs” refers to the need for food, medical care, shelter and safety. These interventions were implemented at the local level, with the main activities consisting in offering food aid to underserved and marginalized migrants (cooked meals, hot meals and food baskets) (Access Alliance, 2020; Bernstein and al., 2020; Birjandian and O’Leary, 2020; CSAI, 2020; Corwin, Sinnwell and Culp, 2021; MWAM, 2020; Welcome Collective, 2020). They also consisted in a) referring families to food resources in their neighbourhood (Welcome Collective, 2020); b) offering emergency financial assistance to families (Bernstein et al., 2020; Endale, St. Jean and Birman, 2020); c) giving personal hygiene products to underserved and marginalized migrants (mainly agricultural workers) (Corwin et al., 2021); and d) providing housing support (Bernstein et al., 2020; CSAI, 2020; MWAM, 2020). One intervention also included help for female asylum seekers who were victims of domestic violence (MWAM, 2020).

Interventions related to the secondary basic needs of underserved and marginalized migrants included a) mediation with institutions such as schools; b) assistance in filling out forms and applying for government financial aid programs



(Access Alliance, 2020; Bernstein et al., 2020) and legal services (MWAM, 2020); c) distributing cellphones to vulnerable families (Access Alliance, 2020) and computers to children (Welcome Collective, 2020); d) building trust in the state system and institutions and encouraging a sense of belonging to the host society (Birjandian et O'Leary, 2020).

### *Mental health, psychological well-being and social isolation*

Curiously, few interventions implemented at the local level sought to reduce the negative effects of the pandemic on the mental health of underserved and marginalized migrants or to break their social isolation. In fact, only one intervention focused exclusively on mental health and psychological well-being (Rosenberg, McDonough Ryan, O'Brien, Ganjavi and Sharifi, 2021). This initiative was implemented in the US and involved young Afghan refugees and their families. The initiative consisted of social-emotional awareness sessions in the form of play, art and yoga activities. Three other interventions included components related to mental health and social isolation (Endale et al., 2020; Gautier et al., 2022; MWAM, 2020). These consisted of emotional support for women who were victims of domestic violence (MWAM, 2020); telephone consultations with mental health professionals (Gautier et al., 2022); regular follow-up (SMS, telephone, videoconferences, etc.) to maintain social relationships in order to mitigate the effects of social isolation (Endale et al., 2020; Gautier et al., 2022) as well as virtual book reading sessions, and reading stories for young refugees on themes related to mental health (Endale et al., 2020).

### *Control of COVID-19 infections in settings with a high concentration of migrants*

We identified five interventions that were aimed at controlling COVID-19 infections in settings with a high concentration of migrants, such as immigration detention centers, temporary asylum accommodations and farms. Two were implemented at the national level and three, at the local level. The first, which targeted undocumented newcomers and asylum seekers, consisted in releasing undocumented migrants from detention centers to prevent disease outbreaks (PICUM, 2020) and providing temporary accommodation for asylum seekers to allow them to respect physical distancing, thereby decreasing the rate of infection (Davies, 2020). The second set of interventions to prevent and control COVID-19 outbreaks targeted primarily agricultural workers and refugees settled in reception centres. Their principal objective was to curb the transmission and spread of the virus in environments with a high concentration of newcomers, such as the workplace (farms) or living environment (i.e., reception centres and workers' dormitories). These interventions included frequent and regular COVID testing on farms such as a mobile clinic travelling from farm to farm to test workers on site), quarantining workers who tested positive, relocating workers to allow compliance with sanitary measures (Corwin et al., 2021; Johnson et al., 2022), promoting testing and contact tracing on farms (Tutor Marcom et al., 2020), and using a cohort approach, which included keeping workers in the same groups for the duration of their stay on the farm (Johnson et al., 2022). It should be noted that these local interventions were usually implemented after the discovery of a first case of contamination on the farm and generally targeted seasonal workers.

## **Discussion**

This scoping review provides an overview of interventions implemented to address underserved and marginalized migrants' needs in Western countries during the pandemic and categorizes them by area of intervention. Information extracted from 15 peer-reviewed articles and 16 grey-literature studies revealed five priority areas of intervention: immigration, health care and social service policies; raising awareness about COVID-19; food insecurity and primary/secondary basic needs; mental health and psychological well-being; and **control of COVID-19 infections in settings with high concentrations of migrants**. Most of the selected interventions fell into the COVID-19 awareness-raising category. These findings suggest that in at least nine Western countries, some effort was made to make information on public health recommendations accessible to underserved and marginalized migrants and to ensure their safety. The next largest category consisted of interventions related to food insecurity. Our scoping review findings provide evidence of migrants' socio-economic vulnerability, which has been exacerbated by the pandemic. We identified only one intervention in the area of mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic, even though the psychological distress of migrants was greatly exacerbated by the health crisis (Brickhill-Atkinson and Hauck, 2021;

Endale et al., 2020; Rosenberg et al., 2021). Our findings are consistent with those of other studies that have pointed to a lack of consideration for the mental health and psychological well-being of underserved and marginalized migrants during the pandemic (Da Mosto et al., 2021). Other studies have shown, however, that this area of intervention was also neglected during previous health crises, such as SARS, H1N1, and MERS (Wang, Tian and Qin, 2020).

Our results also show that certain groups of underserved and marginalized migrants have been excluded from responses to the pandemic. Among all underserved and marginalized migrants qualified as “essential workers,” agricultural workers were the target of 25% of the interventions we selected, while none focused on underserved and marginalized migrants who work in other sectors, such as the meat processing industry. Possible explanations for this phenomenon could be either the poor living conditions of agricultural workers on farms (which has been well documented in the literature) (Landry et al., 2021; Unheeded Warnings, 2020) or the invaluable support they provide for the agriculture industry in Western countries (Landry et al., 2021; Unheeded Warnings, 2020). We also noted that prevention and control responses were not gender-sensitive with respect to either women or members of the LGBTQ2S+ community. For example, none of the selected interventions targeted underserved and marginalized migrants who identified as LGBTQ2S+ and only one focused on women, even though research has shown that among newcomers, women represent a significant proportion of frontline workers and are twice as likely to be infected with COVID-19 as their male colleagues (UN Women, 2020). Although there is no data on the impact of COVID-19 on the health of LGBTQ2S+ migrants, a study of homeless LGBTQ2S+ youth in Toronto showed that the pandemic had a negative impact on their mental health, provoking or exacerbating such problems as depression, suicidal thoughts and alcohol abuse (Abramovich et al., 2021). It is important to note, however, that a few interventions have focused on external actors who work with migrants and their agricultural employers, showing that training is important to help social workers better support underserved and marginalized migrants. Since employers are responsible for ensuring the safety of their agricultural workers, they should receive training in health measures (Caxaj, Cohen, Colindres et al., 2020).

Our research revealed that most interventions were developed and implemented at the local level by non-profit organizations. These results are not surprising. It is usually volunteer, charitable and non-governmental organizations that meet the needs of this population, as underserved and marginalized migrants are more likely to access these types of organizations (Bhopal, 2020; Wieland et al., 2022). In addition, all the selected interventions were the result of cross-sectoral collaboration between organizations (public and private community-based) and citizen volunteers who provided support for migrants or were interested in their cause. This kind of collaboration not only brought together valuable expertise, resources and services for underserved and marginalized migrants but also provided them with relatively comprehensive support during the pandemic. With more and more displacement being caused by wars, coups and growing hunger in low-income countries, the number of migrants is likely to grow even more in the future. Our scoping review could guide policymakers in their decisions about underserved and marginalized migrants, assist community workers in their practices and provide researchers with new avenues of research.

### **Strengths and limitations**

This review provides important information on the types of interventions deployed as well as areas in which to intervene to meet the needs of underserved and marginalized migrants. The main strength of this review is that the interventions identified were designed and implemented specifically for underserved and marginalized migrants, including all categories within that population (refugees, asylum seekers, undocumented migrants and seasonal agricultural workers). The main limitation of this review is in the relatively small number of studies included, which may indicate a certain lack of representativeness. We are aware that very few interventions implemented are disseminated and that even fewer are published in scientific journals. This may explain why we found more than half (16/31) of our selected publications in the grey literature. Also, our decision to select only those interventions that specifically targeted underserved and marginalized migrants resulted in the exclusion of others that focused on other vulnerable populations, such as racialized communities, which may or may not have included underserved and marginalized migrants. Furthermore, this review includes neither interventions that were implemented during the later waves of the pandemic nor interventions related to vaccines.



## Conclusion

Our results show that many different interventions were deployed at both the local and national levels to meet the needs of underserved and marginalized migrants and improve their lives during the pandemic. However, aside from the Canadian government's policy to open pathways to permanent residence for a sub-group of migrants (asylum seekers working in health care during the COVID-19 pandemic), all the selected interventions consisted of short-term actions with apparently immediate effects. Since an urgent response was needed to meet the growing needs of underserved and marginalized migrants in the early stages of the pandemic, most of the interventions were implemented during the first wave of COVID-19. When the pandemic showed no signs of easing, however, there was a push for long-term approaches to protect underserved and marginalized migrants from the ongoing effects of the health crisis, as well as from its future impacts. The effectiveness of these interventions remains to be proven. It will also be necessary to determine the extent to which they can be scaled up and/or transferred to other contexts or used to improve responses to the needs of underserved and marginalized migrants during future pandemics or other crises. Our scoping review resulted in two important conclusions: the role of community organizations in improving the living conditions of underserved and marginalized migrants and the relevance of cross-sector collaboration as a strategy to respond effectively to their needs. Studies exploring the views and experiences of underserved and marginalized migrants will help to determine whether and to what extent these interventions have achieved their objectives. We hope that future studies will examine the epidemiological context and COVID-19 policies in different countries in order to understand the logic behind these identified initiatives.

## References

- Abramovich, A., Pang, N., Moss, A., Logie, C. H., Chaiton, M., Kidd, S. A. and Hamilton, H. (2021). Investigating the impacts of COVID-19 among LGBTQ2S youth experiencing homelessness. *Plos one*, 16(9), e0257693.
- Access Alliance. (2020). *access alliance multicultural health and community services: COVID-19 response*. <https://accessalliance.ca/access-alliance-covid-19-response/>
- Arksey, H. and O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International journal of social research methodology*, 8(1), 19-32.
- Askola, H., Forbes-Mewett, H. and Shmihelska, O. (2021). Migrant precariousness in the time of COVID-19: Migrant workers, risks and rights. *J Clayton, VIC: Castan Centre for Human Rights Law, Monash University*.
- Baggio, S., Jacquierioz, F., Salamun, J., Spechbach, H. and Jackson, Y. (2021). Equity in access to COVID-19 testing for undocumented migrants and homeless persons during the initial phase of the pandemic. *Journal of Migration and Health*, 4, 100051. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmh.2021.100051>
- Bastien, T., Fournier, F., Morel, A.-M., Belhadj-Ziane, K., Bourdeau, F., Dagenais, F., Désiré, F., Landreville, M., Massoud, E. and Nadeau-Grenier, V. (2022). *Inégalités sociales. Impact de la pandémie de COVID-19 sur la santé et la qualité de vie des personnes immigrantes au Québec*. [https://aspq.org/app/uploads/2022/02/2022\\_immigrants-et-covid\\_vf.pdf](https://aspq.org/app/uploads/2022/02/2022_immigrants-et-covid_vf.pdf)
- Behbahani, S., Smith, C. A., Carvalho, M., Warren, C. J., Gregory, M. and Silva, N. A. (2020). Vulnerable immigrant populations in the New York metropolitan area and COVID-19: Lessons learned in the epicenter of the crisis. *Academic Medicine*, 95(12), 1827-1830. <https://doi.org/10.1097/acm.0000000000003518>
- Bernstein, H., González, J., Gonzalez, D. and Jagannath, J. (2020). Immigrant-serving organizations' perspectives on the COVID-19 crisis. *Journal Urban Institute*. 1-27.
- Bhopal, R. (2020). COVID-19: Immense necessity and challenges in meeting the needs of minorities, especially asylum seekers and undocumented migrants. *J Public health*, 182, 161.
- Birjandian, F. and O'Leary, K. (2020). Gestion de crise et liens communautaires : Stratégie d'une agence pour soutenir les nouveaux arrivants dans le cadre de la pandémie de la COVID-19. Dans D. Canadienne (dir.), *COVID-19 Immigration en temps de pandémie : relever le défi* (vol. 17, p. 72-74).

- Bohnet, H. and Rügger, S. (2021). Refugees and Covid-19: Beyond health risks to insecurity. *J Swiss Political Science Review*, 27(2), 353-368.
- Brickhill-Atkinson, M. and Hauck, F. R. (2021, Mar). Impact of COVID-19 on resettled refugees [Review]. *Primary Care; Clinics in Office Practice*, 48(1), 57-66. <https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pop.2020.10.001>
- British Columbia Ministry of Health. (2020). *Medical services plan – Temporary coverage during the COVID-19 pandemic*. <https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/health/health-drug-coverage/msp/bc-residents/msp-covid-19-response>
- Burton-Jeangros, C., Duvoisin, A., Lachat, S., Consoli, L., Fakhoury, J. and Jackson, Y. (2020). The impact of the Covid-19 pandemic and the lockdown on the health and living conditions of undocumented migrants and migrants undergoing legal status regularization. *J Frontiers in public health*, 8, 940.
- Caxaj, C. S., Cohen, A., Colindres, C., Drolet, N., Hennebry, J. and McLaughlin, J. (2020). Government COVID-19 guidelines gamble on the lives of migrant agricultural workers. *Canadian Science Policy Centre*, 1(1), 16-19. <https://sciencepolicy.ca/posts/government-covid-19-guidelines-gamble-on-the-lives-of-migrant-agricultural-workers/>
- Caxaj, C. S., Cohen, A., Buffam, B. and Oudshoorn, A. (2020). Borders and boundaries in the lives of migrant agricultural workers. *Witness: The Canadian Journal of Critical Nursing Discourse*, 2(2), 92.
- Centre social d'aide aux immigrants (CSAI). (2020). *Rapport d'activités 2020-2021*.
- Cleveland, J., Hanley, J., Jaimes, A. and Wolofsky, T. (2020). *Impacts de la crise de la COVID-19 sur les «communautés culturelles» - Enquête sur les facteurs socioculturels et structurels affectant les groupes vulnérables*. Institut universitaire SHERPA. [https://sherpa-recherche.com/wp-content/uploads/impact\\_covid19\\_communautes\\_culturelles.pdf](https://sherpa-recherche.com/wp-content/uploads/impact_covid19_communautes_culturelles.pdf)
- Corwin, C., Sinnwell, E. and Culp, K. (2021). A mobile primary care clinic mitigates an early COVID-19 outbreak among migrant farmworkers in Iowa. *Journal of Agromedicine*, 26(3), 346-351.
- Da Mosto, D., Bodini, C., Mammana, L., Gherardi, G., Quargnolo, M. and Fantini, M. P. (2021). Health equity during COVID-19: A qualitative study on the consequences of the syndemic on refugees' and asylum seekers' health in reception centres in Bologna (Italy). *Journal of Migration and Health*, 4, 100057. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmh.2021.100057>
- Davies, G. (2020). *Asylum accommodation and support*. National Audit Office. <https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Asylum-accommodation-and-support.pdf>
- Deal, A., Hayward, S. E., Huda, M., Knights, F., Crawshaw, A. F., Carter, J., Hassan, O. B., Farah, Y., Ciftci, Y., Rowland-Pomp, M., Rustage, K., Goldsmith, L., Hartmann, M., Mounier-Jack, S., Burns, R., Miller, A., Wurie, F., Campos-Matos, I., Majeed, A. and Hargreaves, S. (2021). Strategies and action points to ensure equitable uptake of COVID-19 vaccinations: A national qualitative interview study to explore the views of undocumented migrants, asylum seekers, and refugees [Article]. *Journal of Migration and Health*, 4, 100050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmh.2021.100050>
- Dudley, M. J. (2020). Reaching invisible and unprotected workers on farms during the coronavirus pandemic. *Journal of agromedicine*, 25(4), 427-429.
- Edmonds, J. and Flahault, A. (2021). Refugees in Canada during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research Public Health*, 18(3), 947.
- Endale, T., St. Jean, N. and Birman, D. (2020). COVID-19 and refugee and immigrant youth: A community-based mental health perspective. *Psychological trauma: Theory, research, practice and policy*, 12(S1), S225.
- European Public Health Association (EUPHA). (2020). *Reducing the impact of the coronavirus crisis on those 'left behind' – Disadvantaged Migrants and Ethnic Minorities*. [https://eupha.org/repository/advocacy/MIG\\_statement\\_on\\_COVID19.pdf](https://eupha.org/repository/advocacy/MIG_statement_on_COVID19.pdf)

- Farrant, O., Eisen, S., van Tulleken, C., Ward, A. and Longley, N. (2022). Why asylum seekers deserve better healthcare, and how we can give it to them. 376, n3069. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n3069> %J BMJ
- Gautier, L., Nguengang Wakap, S., Verrier, F., da Silva Miranda, É., Négré, V., Hamza, J., Poveda, J.-D. and Bouchon, M. (2022). Responding to increasing health and social needs of unprotected unaccompanied minors in Paris in the context of COVID-19: A mixed methods case study. *Journal of Immigrant Refugee Studies*, 1-20.
- Hacker, K., Anies, M., Folb, B. L. and Zallman, L. (2015). Barriers to health care for undocumented immigrants: a literature review. *Risk management and Healthcare Policy*, 8, 175-183.
- Haley, E., Caxaj, S., George, G., Hennebry, J., Martell, E. and McLaughlin, J. (2020). Migrant farmworkers face heightened vulnerabilities during COVID-19. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems Community Development*. 9(3), 35-39.
- Hasan Bhuiyan, M. T., Mahmud Khan, I., Rahman Jony, S. S., Robinson, R., Nguyen, U.-S. D., Keellings, D., Rahman, M. S. and Haque, U. (2021). The disproportionate impact of COVID-19 among undocumented immigrants and racial minorities in the US. *International Journal of Environmental Research Public Health*, 18(23), 12708.
- Hayward, S. E., Deal, A., Cheng, C., Crawshaw, A., Orcutt, M., Vandrevala, T. F., Norredam, M., Carballo, M., Ciftci, Y. and Requena-Méndez, A. (2021). Clinical outcomes and risk factors for COVID-19 among migrant populations in high-income countries: a systematic review. *Journal of Migration and Health*, 3, 100041.
- Hill, J., Rodriguez, D. X. and McDaniel, P. N. (2021). Immigration status as a health care barrier in the USA during COVID-19. *Journal of Migration and Health*, 4, 100036. <https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmh.2021.100036>
- Hintermeier, M., Gencer, H., Kajikhina, K., Rohleder, S., Hövener, C., Tallarek, M., Spallek, J. and Bozorgmehr, K. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 among migrants and forcibly displaced populations: A rapid systematic review. *Journal of Migration and Health*, 4, 100056. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmh.2021.100056>
- Immigration Refugees and Citizenship Canada (IRCC). (2020). *Temporary public policy to facilitate the granting of permanent residence for certain refugee claimants working in the health care sector during the COVID-19 pandemic*. <https://www.canada.ca/en/immigration-refugees-citizenship/corporate/mandate/policies-operational-instructions-agreements/permanent-residence-healthcare-pandemic-canada.html>
- International Organization for Migration (IOM). (2020). *Enhancing access to services for migrants in the context of COVID-19: Preparedness, prevention, response and beyond*. <https://www.iom.int/news/enhancing-access-services-migrants-context-covid-19-preparedness-prevention-response-and-beyond>
- Jahn, R., Hintermeier, M. and Bozorgmehr, K. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 attack rate in reception and accommodation centres for asylum seekers during the first wave: systematic review of outbreak media reports in Germany. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 100084.
- Jewish Immigrant Aid Service (JIAS) Toronto. (2021). *JIAS Toronto Annual Report 2020-2021*. <https://jiastoronto.org/about-jewish-immigrant-aid/annual-report-2020-2021-2/>
- Johnson, C., Dukes, K., Sinnwell, E., Culp, K., Zinnel, D. and Corwin, C. (2022). Innovative cohort process to minimize COVID-19 infection for migrant farmworkers during travel to Iowa. *Workplace Health Safety*, 70(1), 17-23.
- Landry, V., Semsar-Kazerooni, K., Tjong, J., Alj, A., Darnley, A., Lipp, R. and Guberman, G. (2021). The systemized exploitation of temporary migrant agricultural workers in Canada: Exacerbation of health vulnerabilities during the COVID-19 pandemic and recommendations for the future. *Journal of Migration and Health*, 3, 100035. <https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.jmh.2021.100035>
- Lau, L. S., Samari, G., Moresky, R. T., Casey, S. E., Kachur, S. P., Roberts, L. F. and Zard, M. (2020). COVID-19 in humanitarian settings and lessons learned from past epidemics. *Nature Medicine*, 26(5), 647-648.
- Liebman, A., Roy, N., Seda, C., Zuroweste, E. and Roy, C. (2020). Partnerships as an avenue to translate emerging disease ecology of SARS-CoV-2 to agricultural groups. *Journal of Agromedicine*, 25(4), 430-433.

- Matthew, O. O., Monaghan, P. F. and Luque, J. S. (2021). The novel coronavirus and undocumented farmworkers in the United States. *New solutions: A journal of environmental and occupational health policy*, 31(1), 9-15.
- Migrant Women Association Malta (MWAM). (2020). *COVID-19 Emergency Response Project* [https://ec.europa.eu/migrant-integration/integration-practice/covid-19-emergency-response-project-migrant-women-association-malta-mwam\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/migrant-integration/integration-practice/covid-19-emergency-response-project-migrant-women-association-malta-mwam_en)
- Ministère de l'Immigration de la francisation et de l'Intégration (MIFI). (2020a). *Francisation : certificat d'acceptation du Québec (CAQ) pour études et permis d'études non requis pour les demandeurs d'asile et les travailleurs étrangers temporaires déjà au Québec*. <https://www.immigration-quebec.gouv.qc.ca/fr/informations/actualites/actualites-2020/francisation-assouplissement-exigences.html>
- Ministère de l'Immigration de la francisation et de l'Intégration (MIFI). (2020b). *Programme spécial des demandeurs d'asile en période de COVID-19*. <https://www.immigration-quebec.gouv.qc.ca/fr/informations/actualites/actualites-2020/demandeurs-asile-sante.html>
- Ontario Ministry of Health. (2020). *COVID-19 Expanding access to OHIP coverage and funding physican and hospital services for uninsured patients*. <https://www.health.gov.on.ca/en/pro/programs/ohip/bulletins/4000/bul4749.aspx>
- Orcutt, M., Patel, P., Burns, R., Hiam, L., Aldridge, R., Devakumar, D., Kumar, B., Spiegel, P. and Abubakar, I. (2020). Global call to action for inclusion of migrants and refugees in the COVID-19 response. *The Lancet*, 395(10235), 1482-1483.
- Page, K. R. and Flores-Miller, A. (2021). Lessons we've learned—Covid-19 and the undocumented Latinx community. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 384(1), 5-7.
- Platform for International Cooperation on Undocumented Migrants (PICUM). (2020). *Whats-happening-to-undocumented-people-during-the-covid-19-pandemic?* <https://picum.org/whats-happening-to-undocumented-people-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/#:~:text=Our%20survey%20shows%20that%20the,state%20support%2C%20including%20unemployment%20benefits>.
- Platform for International Cooperation on Undocumented Migrants (PICUM). (2022). *Insecure residence status, mental health and resilience. Briefing paper*. [https://picum.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Insecure-residence-status-mental-health-and-resilience\\_EN.pdf](https://picum.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Insecure-residence-status-mental-health-and-resilience_EN.pdf)
- Province of British Columbia. (2020). *Protecting industrial camp workers, contractors, and employers working the agricultural, forestry, and natural resource sectors during the COVID-19 pandemic*. <https://www.bcforestsafe.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/All-sector-work-camps-guidance.pdf>
- Rosenberg, J., McDonough Ryan, P., O'Brien, C., Ganjavi, F. and Sharifi, M. (2021). Pilot wellness program with adapted social-emotional learning and COVID-19 Curriculum for refugee youth. *Health Education Behavior*, 109019812111048830.
- Tutor Marcom, R., Freeman Lambar, E., Rodman, B., Thomas, G., Watson, A., Parrish, B. and Wilburn, J. (2020). Working along the continuum: North Carolina's collaborative response to COVID-19 for migrant and seasonal farmworkers. *Journal of Agromedicine*, 25(4), 409-412.
- Tuyisenge, G. and Goldenberg, S. M. (2021). COVID-19, structural racism, and migrant health in Canada. *The Lancet*, 397(10275), 650-652.
- UN Women. (2020). *COVID-19: Emerging gender data and why it matters*. <https://data.unwomen.org/resources/covid-19-emerging-gender-data-and-why-it-matters>
- Unheeded Warnings. (2020). *COVID-19 & migrant workers in Canada*. <https://migrantworkersalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Unheeded-Warnings-COVID19-and-Migrant-Workers.pdf>

- United Nations. (2020). *Policy brief: COVID-19 and people on the move*.  
<https://unsdg.un.org/sites/default/files/2020-06/SG-Policy-Brief-on-People-on-the-Move.pdf>
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (US-HHS). (2020). *COVID-19 care for uninsured individuals / US.Department of Health and Human Services*. <https://www.hhs.gov/coronavirus/covid-19-care-uninsured-individuals/index.html>
- Waldersee, V. (2020). *Portugal to treat migrants as residents during coronavirus crisis*.  
<https://www.reuters.com/article/uk-health-coronavirus-portugal/portugal-to-treat-migrants-as-residents-during-coronavirus-crisis-idUKKBN21F0MC?edition-redirect=uk>
- Wang, F., Tian, C. and Qin, W. (2020). The impact of epidemic infectious diseases on the wellbeing of migrant workers: a systematic review. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 10(3).
- Welcome Collective. (2020). *Welcome collective: Our COVID-19 efforts*.
- Wenner, J., Biddle, L., Gottlieb, N. and Bozorgmehr, K. (2022). Inequalities in access to healthcare by local policy model among newly arrived refugees: evidence from population-based studies in two German states. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 21(1), 1-12.
- Wieland, M. L., Asiedu, G. B., Njeru, J. W., Weis, J. A., Lantz, K., Abbenyi, A., Molina, L., Ahmed, Y., Osman, A. and Goodson, M. J. P. H. R. (2022). Community-engaged bidirectional crisis and emergency risk communication with immigrant and refugee populations during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Public Health Reports*, 00333549211065514.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2021). *Refugees and migrants in times of COVID-19: mapping trends of public health and migration policies and practices* (publication n° 9240028900).  
<https://apps.who.int/iris/rest/bitstreams/1351802/retrieve>
- World Health Organization (WHO) Europe. (2020). *Interim guidance for refugee and migrant health in relation to COVID-19 in the WHO European Region*.  
[https://www.euro.who.int/\\_\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/0008/434978/Interim-guidance-refugee-and-migrant-health-COVID-19.pdf](https://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0008/434978/Interim-guidance-refugee-and-migrant-health-COVID-19.pdf)